

In re Appln. of Marcu et al.
Application No. Unassigned
Attorney Docket No. 213373

20. (Amended) The method of claim 1, wherein the client protein is in a cell and is Hsf-1.

22. (Amended) The method of claim 1, which is *in vivo*.

23. (Amended) The method of claim 1, which is *ex vivo*.

REMARKS

The specification has been amended to incorporate the claim of priority. The claims of the present application have been amended by converting the multiply-dependent claims into singly dependent claims, and by correcting the claim dependencies as necessary. No new matter has been added by way of the foregoing amendments.

Conclusion

The application is considered to be in good and proper form for allowance, and the Examiner is respectfully requested to pass this application to issue. If, in the opinion of the Examiner, a telephone conference would expedite the prosecution of the subject application, the Examiner is invited to call the undersigned attorney.

Respectfully submitted,



Carol Larcher, Reg. No. 35,243
One of the Attorneys for Applicants
LEYDIG, VOIT & MAYER, LTD.
Two Prudential Plaza, Suite 4900
180 North Stetson
Chicago, Illinois 60601-6780
(312) 616-5600 (telephone)
(312) 616-5700 (facsimile)

Date: September 12, 2001